Table 1: Typical Reimbursement Rates

Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse Residential Treatment Services Rate Study June 2004

Table 2: Typical Deficiency of Reimbursement
Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse Residential Treatment Services Rate Study June 2004

Column Source:	# of Providers	Provider	Audit	Audit	Audit (Total Program Costs/Total G&A)*	7) for individual Providers	for Individual Providers	Col. 8 / Col. 3 = Col. 9	State Schedule	Typical Deficiency of Reimbursement								
1 Modality Type/Provider	Number of Providers		Total Modality Costs per Audit	5 Total G&A Costs	6 % Allocation of G&A Costs	7 G&A Dollar Allocation to Modality	8 Total Modality Cost	9 Cost per Unit of Service by Modality	FY 2003 Reim- bursement Rate	Modality Type/Provider	Number of Illustrative Providers	Bed Days Under Contract for Illustrative Providers	Actual 200 Reimburs for Illust Provice	ement rative	Actual Billable Days for Illustrative Providers	Billable \$ at Average Cost for Illustrative Providers	\$ Shortfall for Illustrative Providers	All Providers Deficiency as a % of Reimbursement
Intensive Inpatient Treatment										Intensive Inpatient Treatment								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	n = 7 n = 7	104,709	\$10,276,299	\$ 4,566,255	16.0% 11% - 21%	\$ 1,639,179	\$ 12,105,576	\$ 115.61 \$68 -\$173	\$ 66.36 \$ 66.36	Average-all providers	8	219	\$ 3,	481,260	52,460	\$ 6,065,010	\$ (2,583,750)	-74.2%
Averagetypical providers ^A Rangetypical providers	n = 6 n = 6	95,086	\$ 8,902,828	\$ 2,392,398	15.2% 11% - 19%	\$ 1,350,431	\$ 10,443,357		\$ 66.36 \$ 66.36	Average-typical providers	7	191	\$ 2,	960,666	44,615	\$ 4,900,118	\$ (1,939,452)	-65.5%
Long Term Residential										Long Term Residential								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	n = 2 n = 2	26,860	\$ 1,234,819	\$ 2,323,021	15.0% 14% - 21%	\$ 185,171	\$ 1,419,990	\$ 52.87 \$50-\$86	\$ 52.24	Averageall providers	3	56	\$ 1,	000,656	19,155	\$ 1,012,653	\$ (11,997)	-1.2%
Recovery House										Recovery House								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	n = 4 n = 4	35,653	\$ 1,606,764	\$ 2,673,117	14.1% 11% - 21%	\$ 227,001	\$ 1,833,765	\$ 51.43 \$37 - \$68	\$ 37.97	Averageall providers	4	52	\$	494,862	13,033	\$ 670,334	\$ (175,472)	-35.5%
Long-term - Involuntary	[values are s	hadowed	in based on CY	2003 internal inf	ormation that was no	ot linked to function	nal costs of the	independent a	uditor]	Long-term Involuntary								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	n = 1	43,039	\$ 4,668,050	not known	10.5% 10.5%	\$ 521,424	\$ 5,189,474	\$ 120.58 \$ 120.58	\$ 128.50	Averageall providers:	Provider audits did not have functional cost reports at the modality level. Shadowed price information was not used in the computations of Table 2.							
PPW Residential (with child)										PPW Residential (with child)								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	n = 4 n = 4	35,684	\$ 6,010,009	\$ 1,547,813	12.7% 7% - 26%	\$ 764,098	\$ 6,774,107	\$ 189.84 \$148 - \$253	\$ 155.90	Averageall providers (includes estimated child payments) (not all mothers were with a child)	5	85	\$ 3,	360,576	26,269	\$ 4,986,801	\$ (1,126,225)	-29.2%
Youth Level 1										Youth Level 1								
Averageall providers ^A Rangeall providers ^A	n = 2	25,846	\$ 4,621,797	\$ 2,540,006	24.1%	\$ 1,113,890	\$ 5,856,289	\$ 226.58 \$187 - \$304	\$ 106.83	Averageall providers	2	25	\$	790,372	7,398	\$ 1,676,339	\$ (885,967)	-112.1%
Averagetypical provider ^B	n = 1				19.4%			\$ 187.00	\$ 106.83	Averagetypical provider	1	12	\$	467,915	4,380	\$ 819,059	\$ (351,144)	-75.0%
Youth Level 2										Youth Level 2								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	Provider audit functional cost report did not breakout multiple residential modalities. no data no data								Averageall providers:	geall providers: Provider audit functional cost report did not breakout multiple residential modalities.								
Youth Level 2 - Secure										Youth Level 2 - Secure								
Averageall providers Rangeall providers	n = 3 n = 3	35,361	\$ 6,147,974		7.8%	\$ 481,537	\$ 6,629,511	\$ 187.48 \$156 - \$254	\$ 188.68	Averageall providers	3	44	\$ 2,	462,392	13,051	\$ 2,446,743	\$ 15,649	0.6%
	05									Totalsall providers	25	481		0,118	131,366		\$ (4,767,762)	
Totalall providers	n =22	(not include	ding the "shado	w priced" provide	r)					Totalstypical providers	23	440	\$ 11,24	17,067	120,503	14,835,709	\$ (3,588,642)	-31.9%

Sum of (4 x 6 = Sum of (4 + 7 = 8)
Audit (Total Program 7) for individual for Individual

^{*} The general and administrative rates are based on the total agency costs shown in the audited financial statements. The average percentage rates in column 6 cannot be applied directly to the totals in column 5; the totals in columns 7 and 8 are derived from the summation of the results of individual providers.

A To offset the cost effects of the under-utilization of one provider, a normal utilization (namely 95%) was assumed in calculating the units of service and the average cost per unit of service. The objective was to estimate the cost per unit of service assuming levels of utilization observed elsewhere in the provider delivery system.

B **Typical provider **category was developed to exclude one provider that was seriously underutilized, namely the use of capacity between 60% to 70%.